UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

HONORABLE LAURIE J. MICHELSON

v.

No. 15-20200

D7: JUSTIN JOHNSON,

Defendant.

PLEA HEARING

Detroit, Michigan -- Thursday, March 9, 2017

APPEARANCES:

Christopher Graveline, Esq. Ronald E. Kaplovitz, Esq. U.S. Attorney's Office Kaplovitz & Associates PC 211 W. Fort Street, #2001 2057 Orchard Lake Road Detroit MI 48226 Tel: (313) 226-9100 Tel: (248) 333-3666 christopher.graveline@usdoj.gov On behalf of Plaintiff On behalf of Defendant

Sylvan Lake, MI 48320-2606

To Obtain A Certified Transcript, Contact: Nefertiti A. Matthews, Official Court Reporter Theodore Levin United States Courthouse 231 West Lafayette Boulevard, Room 234 Detroit, Michigan 48226 www.transcriptorders.com • jodi_matthews@mied.uscourts.gov

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

Case 2:15-cr-20200-LJM-DRG ECF No. 209 filed 04/17/17 PageID.1360 Page 2 of 39

Plea Hearing Thursday, March 9, 2017

INDEX

- - -

Certification of Reporter39

- - -

Joint Exhibits

Number

Referred Vol.

Rule 11 Plea Agreement: 4 1
Justin Johnson

1	Detroit, Michigan
2	Thursday, March 9, 2017
3	1:11 p.m.
4	
5	THE CLERK: The Court calls Case Number 15-20200;
6	United States of America versus Defendant Seven, Justin
7	<u>Johnson</u> . Counsel, please state your appearances, for the
8	record.
9	MR. GRAVELINE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Chris
10	Graveline, on behalf of the United States.
11	MR. KAPLOVITZ: Ron Kaplovitz, on behalf of Mr.
12	Johnson.
13	Plea Hearing
14	THE COURT: Good afternoon. And good afternoon to
15	Mr. Johnson.
16	Mr. Johnson has been charged with several other defendants
17	in a multi-count RICO Indictment. He's pled not guilty to the
18	charges against him. And Mr. Kaplovitz, as I understand it,
19	prior to the formal plea cutoff date, that Mr. Johnson wishes
20	to change his plea and enter a plea of guilty to Count One of
21	the Second Superseding Indictment; is that correct?
22	MR. KAPLOVITZ: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right. Then I'm going to ask you and
24	Mr. Johnson to come up to the podium. It does not appear that
25	the microphone is working, so I'll just ask everyone to talk as

1 loudly as you can.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. Mr. Kaplovitz, will the plea be based on the Rule 11 Plea Agreement that the parties have provided to The Court this afternoon?

MR. KAPLOVITZ: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then let's mark that as Exhibit 1.

Mr. Johnson, we're going to go through this in some detail in just a few moments. So, I want to make sure that you've had an opportunity to review the Rule 11 Plea Agreement and to discuss the contents with your lawyer. Have you had that opportunity?

(Joint Exhibit 1, Rule 11 Plea Agreement: Justin Johnson, identified.)

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And from that review and discussion, do you believe that you understand the terms of the Rule 11 Plea Agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And Mr. Kaplovitz, do you believe that Mr. Johnson understands the terms of the Rule 11 Plea Agreement?

MR. KAPLOVITZ: I do, Your Honor.

THE COURT: First thing I'm going to do then is ask
Mr. Graveline if you would please advise Mr. Johnson of the
count that he intends to plead guilty to by either reading or

summarizing the indictment for him.

MR. GRAVELINE: Yes, Your Honor. Mr. Johnson will be pleading guilty today to Count One, that is, RICO Conspiracy, which carries with it a statutory maximum of life in prison, a \$250,000 fine, and up to five years of supervised release.

RICO Conspiracy consists of that the defendant participated in an Enterprise that's defined by 18 U.S.C. 1961 and identified as the Castro Enterprise in the second superseding indictment. That that Enterprise engaged in or had some effect on interstate commerce.

That some time between April of 2011 and December 11th of 2014, the defendant and at least one other person reached an agreement or came to an understanding to conduct the affairs of the Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity and that the defendant voluntarily and intentionally joined in that agreement or understanding knowing that the Enterprise existed and seeking to attain the goals of that Enterprise.

THE COURT: Thank you. And Mr. Johnson, do you understand the charge that the lawyer for the Government just explained to you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: Is that the charge that you intend to plead guilty to?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And before I decide whether to accept

Plea Hearing Thursday/March 9, 2017

your change in plea from "not guilty" to "guilty", there are some things that I want to discuss with you and the lawyers that have to do with the facts of the crime charged as well as the impact of pleading guilty. And I do that because I want to make sure that you fully understand what you're doing. That you fully understand the consequences of what you're doing. And that you're making these decisions knowingly and voluntarily, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am, I do.

THE COURT: And you have previously been advised that you have the right to remain silent and not incriminate yourself. Not say things that make you appear guilty. Do you wish to waive or give up that Right for the purpose of pleading guilty to Count One in the indictment this afternoon?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: So, I'm going to put you under oath. If you would raise your right hand for me?

- - -

JUSTIN JOHNSON,

at 1:16 p.m., being first duly sworn to tell the truth, was examined and testified upon oath as follows:

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Johnson, I've now put you under oath. I want to first advise you that if you provide any false information, your answers may later be used against you

Plea Hearing Thursday/March 9, 2017

7

1	in a separate proceeding for perjury or for making a false
2	statement, do you understand that?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
4	THE COURT: Okay. I'm just first going to ask you
5	some general background questions about yourself and mental
6	health to make sure that you're mentally competent to enter
7	into a guilty plea.
8	Can you tell me your full name?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Justin Johnson.
10	THE COURT: And Mr. Johnson, how old are you?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Twenty-seven.
12	THE COURT: And tell me your highest level of school
13	that you completed.
14	THE DEFENDANT: Eleventh grade.
15	THE COURT: And you have any issues reading, writing,
16	or understanding English?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am, I don't.
18	THE COURT: Any issues so far understanding any of
19	the questions that I've been asking you?
20	THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am.
21	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated for any mental
22	illness or addiction to alcohol or any narcotic drugs?
23	THE DEFENDANT: I have ADHD, that's it.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Do you take any medication for
25	that?

Plea Hearing Thursday/March 9, 2017

8

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am, I did.
2	THE COURT: And when were you diagnosed with ADHD?
3	THE DEFENDANT: At age 12 or 13.
4	THE COURT: Okay. So, it's something you've had for
5	a while?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
7	THE COURT: Is it anything that would impact your
8	ability to think or to reason or to make decisions?
9	THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am.
10	THE COURT: And are you presently under the influence
11	of any drugs or alcohol or any medications?
12	THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am.
13	THE COURT: So, you're not currently taking any
14	medication for the ADHD?
15	THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am.
16	THE COURT: Okay. As you stand here today, is there
17	anything, that you're aware of, that would affect your ability
18	to think or to reason or to make decisions?
19	THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am, I'm competent.
20	THE COURT: And anything about your mental history
21	that you think I should be aware of?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am.
23	THE COURT: And Mr. Kaplovitz, anything about Mr.
24	Johnson's mental health history that you think I should be
25	aware of?

MR. KAPLOVITZ: No, Your Honor. I've been dealing 1 2 with him now for a year and a half and I've never had any 3 question in my mind. 4 **THE COURT:** Are you satisfied he's able to understand 5 the proceeding today and to answer my questions? 6 MR. KAPLOVITZ: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 8 Mr. Graveline, any concerns from the Government? MR. GRAVELINE: No, Your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. I do find that Mr. Johnson is 11 answering my questions consistent with competence. His bearing 12 and demeanor are alert, oriented, and focused in all respects. 13 I appreciate the information that he's provided and I don't see 14 anything that would suggest he's not competent to proceed with 15 the proceedings. 16 Mr. Johnson, you've also previously been advised that you 17 have the right to have a lawyer represent you at all critical 18 stages of this case. Mr. Kaplovitz is with you this afternoon 19 and has been representing you during this case. 20 Have you discussed this case with him and the issue of 21 pleading guilty? Yes, Ma'am. 22 THE DEFENDANT: 23 THE COURT: Have you been able to ask Mr. Kaplovitz 24 any and all questions that you have about pleading guilty?

Yes, Ma'am, I have.

THE DEFENDANT:

25

THE COURT: And has he been able to answer those 1 2 questions for you? 3 Yes, Ma'am, he has. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: Have you discussed with him the evidence 4 5 against you and any defenses that you might have to that evidence? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 8 THE COURT: Have you discussed with him the 9 advantages and disadvantages of pleading guilty versus going to 10 trial? Yes, Ma'am. 11 THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: And are you satisfied with the advice and 12 13 services that Mr. Kaplovitz has provided to you in this case? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am, I'm satisfied. 15 THE COURT: Do you feel that you had enough time to 16 think things over and to decide what you want to do, in this 17 case, in terms of pleading guilty or going to trial? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 19 THE COURT: Do you feel that you need or want any 20 additional time to discuss those issues with your lawyer before 21 we proceed? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am, I'm okay. 23 THE COURT: And Mr. Johnson, have you decided that it 24 is in your own best interest to plead guilty to Count One of 25 the Second Superseding Indictment?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: Do you understand that you have a right to plead not guilty to the charges that have been brought against you?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand.

THE COURT: All right. And if you were to plead not guilty or if you were to continue to maintain your plea of not guilty, you would have the protection of a number of Constitutional Rights. And I'm going to go through those Rights with you and make sure that you understand them and that you want to waive or give them up by pleading guilty, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: So, you have the Right to a speedy and public trial by jury.

You have the Right to be presumed innocent unless and until the Government has proved you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

You have the Right to see and hear all witnesses called to testify against you and the Right to cross examine them.

You have the Right to have The Court order any witnesses you have for your defense to appear at trial.

You have the right to take the witness stand or not as you choose. And you cannot be required to take the witness stand and testify. If you do not take the witness stand and testify,

your silence cannot be used against you in any way.

Do you understand those rights that I've just read to you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am, I understand.

THE COURT: And do you understand that if you pled guilty and if I accept your plea of guilty, you will be found guilty of RICO Conspiracy, without a trial, and you will have given up those Rights that I just read to you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: Now, is it your desire to give up those Rights in order to plead guilty this afternoon?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And Mr. Johnson, there are other consequences of pleading guilty that I want to discuss with you. RICO Conspiracy is a felony and so I want to make sure you understand that if I accept your guilty plea, you will be found guilty of a felony and that could deprive you of a number of valuable civil rights, including the right to vote, if you're in jail. The right to serve on a jury. The right to hold public office. And the right to possess firearms, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am, I understand.

THE COURT: Okay. And there are also penalties, potential penalties that go along with a conviction from a

quilty plea. And I want to make sure you understand what those 1 2 are as well. The first is jail time. And under Count One, 3 which charges RICO Conspiracy, there is a maximum penalty of up to life in prison, do you understand that? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 6 THE COURT: And if you're sentenced to prison, in the 7 federal system there's also something called "Supervised 8 Release", and that's the period of time after you're released 9 from prison, where you would have to follow and obey a number 10 of rules and requirements that pertain to the way you live in 11 the community. And here there's a maximum period of supervised release of five years, are you aware of that? 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am, I'm aware. 14 THE COURT: And during that period of supervised 15 release, if you were to violate any of the conditions of 16 supervised release, you could be given additional jail time, do 17 you understand that as well? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. THE COURT: And there are also some economic 19 20 penalties that go along with a conviction. One is a fine and 21 the law that you're charged with imposes a maximum fine of 22 \$250,000, are you aware of that? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 24 THE COURT: You understand you will have to pay a

25

special assessment of \$100?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
2	THE COURT: And there's also something called
3	"Restitution" and the idea is that as a result of your
4	wrongdoing, someone was hurt or lost something and I may decide
5	that you need to make it up to those people, pay them back, you
6	understand that general concept of restitution?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
8	THE COURT: And there's also something called,
9	"Forfeiture", where I may require you to forfeit or give up
10	certain property to the Government, do you understand that as
11	well?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
13	THE COURT: So, Mr. Johnson, do you understand all of
14	those potential consequences of pleading guilty?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am, I do.
16	THE COURT: Any questions about any of them?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am.
18	THE COURT: And Mr. Kaplovitz, any potential
19	immigration consequences here?
20	MR. KAPLOVITZ: No, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Johnson, are you on probation or
22	parole in any other matter right now?
23	THE DEFENDANT: No.
24	THE COURT: So, just having discussed that one of the
25	consequences of pleading guilty is jail time, let me just

briefly give you an overview of how it is that I will go about determining your sentence. And in doing that, I'm going to consider a number of things. I'm going to first consider the sentencing guideline range and that's a range that I will calculate. And that range combines the seriousness of your offense with your criminal background which gives me a recommended range of sentences.

For example, you probably saw in your Plea Agreement ranges of 168 to 210 months and 121 to 151 months. Do you understand that general concept of how the sentencing guidelines work?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And in addition to the guidelines, those are advisory, it's not the only thing I consider, there are a number of other factors I'll consider and they have to do with the purposes of sentencing and they include:

Your history and characteristics. The nature and seriousness of the charged offense. Deterrence. Punishment. Promoting respect for the law and protection of the public. And I want to make sure you understand that after I consider all of those factors and the sentencing guidelines and I hear from you, I could sentence you within the guideline range that I calculate, or, I could sentence you above that range or below that range, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And have you reviewed the worksheets that 1 2 are attached to your Rule 11 Plea Agreement that set forth how 3 the guidelines might apply in this case? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am, I have. 4 5 THE COURT: And have you discussed with Mr. Kaplovitz 6 how the sentencing quidelines might apply in your case? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 8 THE COURT: Do you feel that you need or want any 9 additional time to discuss the sentencing guidelines with your 10 counsel? 11 No, Ma'am. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: Do you feel that you understand how 12 13 they're going to apply here or how they might apply here? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 15 **THE COURT:** And do you have any questions about them? 16 THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am. 17 THE COURT: You understand I will be the one that 18 ultimately determines your sentence? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 20 THE COURT: And do you also understand that parole 21 has been abolished in the federal system, which means that if I 22 sentence you to prison, you will not be released on parole? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 24 THE COURT: And when we started, Mr. Graveline 25 advised you of the charge that's been filed against you.

you also reviewed the Second Superseding Indictment? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. THE COURT: And have you discussed the charges with 3 Mr. Kaplovitz? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 6 THE COURT: And has he also explained to you the 7 nature of the charges, to your satisfaction? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 9 THE COURT: And when Mr. Graveline was explaining the 10 offense to you, he described the elements of RICO Conspiracy. 11 But I'm going to have him do that again. I'm going to have him explain to you the essential elements of that offense and I'm 12 13 going to have him do that because those are the things that the 14 Government would have to prove, beyond a reasonable doubt, before you could be found guilty. And I want to make sure you 15 understand those elements and that you do believe the 16 17 Government would be able to prove them, beyond a reasonable 18 doubt. Do you understand that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 20 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Graveline, if you 21 would, again, just advise Mr. Johnson of the essential elements 22 of the offense, Count One, RICO Conspiracy. MR. GRAVELINE: Yes, Your Honor. That as identified 23 24 in the Second Superseding Indictment, The Castro Enterprise 25 existed in the Eastern District of Michigan and elsewhere.

That this Enterprise engaged in or had some effect on interstate commerce. That the defendant was associated with this Enterprise. That at some point between April, 2011 and December 11th, 2014, the defendant and one other person reached an agreement or came to an understanding to conduct or participate in the affairs of this Enterprise through a pattern of racketeering activity. And the final element is the defendant voluntarily joined in this agreement or understanding.

THE COURT: Thank you.

And Mr. Johnson, do you understand those elements that the lawyer for the Government just explain to you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And there have been a lot of documents, a lot of material that have been produced in this case. Have you reviewed the discovery material the Government has provided or otherwise learned how the facts the Government could prove at trial would satisfy those essential elements of the offense of RICO Conspiracy?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And you told me that you reviewed the Second Superseding Indictment. You discussed the charges with your lawyer. We've discussed the charges again here today. After doing all of that, do you fully understand the charge that's been brought against you?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
2	THE COURT: Is that still the charge that you intend
3	to plead guilty to?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
5	THE COURT: And do you understand that you're
6	pleading guilty pursuant to the Rule 11 Plea Agreement that you
7	signed today?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
9	THE COURT: You told me that you had a chance to
10	review that Agreement and to discuss it with Mr. Kaplovitz; is
11	that right?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
13	THE COURT: Did you have a chance to ask
14	Mr. Kaplovitz any and all questions that you had about the Rule
15	11 Plea Agreement?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
17	THE COURT: Was he able to answer those questions for
18	you?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
20	THE COURT: And do you feel that you need or want any
21	more time to discuss the terms of this Agreement with him
22	before we continue?
23	THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am.
24	THE COURT: Do you want to go ahead with this
25	Agreement?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 2 THE COURT: And counsel, before we get too far into 3 the Agreement, let me just ask you, I was looking at page --4 well, it doesn't really have a page number. It's the last page 5 of Worksheet "B", where you tally up the units? 6 MR. KAPLOVITZ: Yes, Your Honor. 7 MR. GRAVELINE: Yes, there's a mistake there. Under 8 6, that should read, "Five units", Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. And does that change any of the 10 guideline ranges? MR. GRAVELINE: It does not. It still adds four 11 levels, so, if there's three and a half to five units, that 12 13 adds four levels and that's what we've done. That was just a 14 typo. 15 MR. KAPLOVITZ: The word "unit" should be "levels"? 16 MR. GRAVELINE: That's correct. 17 THE COURT: Right. Okay. So, all the calculations, 18 though, stay the same. 19 MR. GRAVELINE: Yes, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 21 Mr. Johnson, are you relying on the terms and promises 22 that are set forth in the Rule 11 Plea Agreement in making your 23 decision to plead guilty? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 25 THE COURT: And because you are, I want to make sure

that you fully understand all of these terms. So, I'm going to ask the lawyer for the Government if you would please explain to Mr. Johnson the essential terms of the Rule 11 Plea Agreement.

MR. GRAVELINE: Yes, Your Honor.

Mr. Johnson has agreed to plead guilty to Count One, RICO Conspiracy. The parties have a disagreement on the proper scoring of the guidelines, in this case. The Government believes that a three-point enhancement under U.S. Sentencing Guideline 3A1.1, that is the Hate Crime Enhancement, is applicable. The defense disagrees on that. We agree that The Court will determine that at the time of the sentencing.

If The Court agrees with the Government, the defendant's guidelines it would be 168 to 210 months. If The Court agrees with the scoring as put forth by the defendant, his guideline would be 121 to 151.

The parties have agreed that the total sentence of imprisonment may not exceed the top of the sentencing guidelines based upon which guideline The Court eventually determines to be the correct guideline in this case.

The Court may impose any term of supervised release up to the statutory maximum term which, in this case, is five years. The defendant has to pay a \$100 special assessment. The parties have agreed that restitution will be determined at the time of the sentencing.

The defendant agrees to forfeit any property that the Government identifies as being taken from any of the robberies, in this case, specifically: Firearms, U.S. currency, foreign currency, jewelry, electronic computers, laptops, cameras, electronic equipment, or, other personal property taken from any of the victims in this case.

If the defendant decides to withdraw his plea or attempt to withdraw his plea and The Court agreed to allow him to withdraw his plea at a later time, the defendant waives his Right under the Federal Rules of Evidence and we could use any statement that he says during this Plea Agreement against him in any future proceeding.

As long as The Court decides to impose a sentence less than the top of the guidelines as determined by The Court, he may not withdraw from this Agreement. And he also waives his right to appeal any such sentence. If the defendant is sentenced within the guideline range, the Government waives any right it may have to appeal the defendant's sentence.

I believe that is the relevant portions of the Rule 11 Plea Agreement, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

And Mr. Kaplovitz, do you agree with the terms of the Rule 11 Plea Agreement as just stated by Mr. Graveline?

MR. KAPLOVITZ: I do, Your Honor. I've reviewed it carefully and I believe it's accurate.

THE COURT: Anything you'd like to add, for the 1 2 record? 3 MR. KAPLOVITZ: No. Your Honor. THE COURT: And do you believe that Mr. Johnson fully 4 5 understood the Agreement before he signed it? 6 MR. KAPLOVITZ: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: And Mr. Johnson, do you understand the 8 terms of this Plea Agreement as just explained by the lawyer 9 for the Government? 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 11 THE COURT: And do you agree with the terms of the Agreement as he just explained them? 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: I agree, Ma'am. **THE COURT:** And he gave a very thorough summary. 14 15 just want to mention a few of the provisions, again, that have 16 to deal with your sentence because we've been talking about that and it is a little bit technical. 17 18 So, if you have a copy of the Rule 11 Plea Agreement, I'd like you to take a look at Page 8. And it's Pages 8 and 9 that 19 20 explains what Mr. Graveline was indicating when he said that 21 you and the Government are not in complete agreement about the 22 sentencing quideline range here. 23 And the Government believes that the guideline range 24 should be 168 to 210 months. You believe the guideline range 25 should be 121 to 151 months. And that difference comes from

whether there will be an enhancement for this being a hate crime. Do you understand that differential?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And you understand that I'll be the one determining whether that enhancement applies or it doesn't apply?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And after I calculate the guideline range, and I told you earlier, I'll be the one calculating your guideline range. After I do that, my calculation might be the same as the Government's, 168 to 210 months, or, it might be the same as yours, 121 to 151 months, or, it might be different than both of them, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And this Agreement at Page 9 explains the ways that my calculation might be different from a party's calculation. And it explains that if we find out that your criminal history might be higher than as we thought and as reflected in your worksheets, or, if I find that after pleading guilty you've made any false statements to the probation officer, or, there are issues with obstruction of justice or acceptance with responsibility, that might result in my calculating a guideline range higher than the calculations you've done and the Government has done, do you understand that?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And you understand if my calculation, based on the reasons set forth in this Plea Agreement, result in a higher guideline range, you are agreeing to that guideline range?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. And you and the Government in this Agreement at Page 10, and as explained in Pages 8 to 9, you've agreed that there should be a cap or a limit on your sentence. And that cap is the top of the sentencing guideline range that is set forth in the Plea Agreement.

And what that means is 210 months, if I have the same calculation as the Government, 151 months if I have the same calculation as you, or, whatever is the top end of the guideline range that I might calculate, do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: So, the Agreement you have with the Government is that I will not sentence you above the top end of whatever the guideline range is that I calculate, you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And if, for some reason, I cannot accept that cap, if I think your sentence should be above the top end of the guideline range that is calculated under this Agreement, I will tell you that I'm rejecting the cap and I'll give you a

chance to withdraw back out of the plea, or, continue with the 1 2 plea, but without the cap, do you understand that? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 4 THE COURT: And do you understand that if you decide 5 not to withdraw your plea, that I could sentence you to more 6 than the cap than you agreed to with the Government, do you 7 understand? 8 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 9 THE COURT: You have any questions about that? 10 THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am. 11 THE COURT: Do you understand the only way you would be able to back out of the Plea Agreement, once I accept the 12 13 plea, is if I were to decide to impose a sentence higher than 14 the maximum allowed by this Agreement? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 16 THE COURT: And again, that's either going to be 210 17 months, 151 months, or, the top end of the range that I 18 calculate if it's different from your range and the 19 Government's range, do you understand that? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 21 THE COURT: All right. Any questions about that? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No questions. THE COURT: And then if you look at Paragraph 7, 23 24 that's what Mr. Graveline was explaining when he talked about 25 your waiving certain rights to appeal. You understand that

you're waiving or giving up your right to appeal the 1 2 convictions that results from your guilty plea? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand. 3 THE COURT: And under this Agreement, this Plea 4 5 Agreement, if the sentence that I impose is not more than the 6 maximum allowed by this Agreement, you're also waiving or 7 giving up your right to appeal the sentence, do you understand 8 that? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: And this Agreement explains that you are 11 not waiving your right to bring an ineffective assistance of counsel claim if you were to file a proper collateral 12 13 proceeding, do you understand that? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 15 THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Johnson, there's a lot of 16 information in this Plea Agreement. Have you had the 17 opportunity to discuss this Agreement with your lawyer to your 18 satisfaction? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 20 THE COURT: And do you have any final questions about 21 it? 22 No, Ma'am. THE DEFENDANT: 23 THE COURT: Now that we've gone through it in some 24 more detail, do you still want to go forward with this Rule 11 25 Plea Agreement?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 2 THE COURT: And other than what is contained in this 3 Agreement, has anyone made any other or different promises or assurances to you of any kind in an effort to get you to enter 4 5 a plea of guilty in this case? 6 THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am. 7 THE COURT: Has anyone told you that you will be 8 treated leniently, meaning, that I will go easy on you or that 9 you will be put on probation or that you will receive any 10 specific sentence in return for pleading guilty to Count One of 11 the Second Superseding Indictment? 12 THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am. 13 THE COURT: Has anyone tried to force you to plead 14 quilty by any mistreatment, by any pressure, any duress, or any 15 threats made upon you in any way? 16 THE DEFENDANT: No, Ma'am. 17 Then Mr. Johnson, tell me how are you THE COURT: 18 going to plead to the charge of RICO Conspiracy? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty. 20 THE COURT: And are you entering this plea of guilty 21 freely and voluntarily because, in fact, you are guilty and it 22 is your choice to plead quilty? 23 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 24 THE COURT: I want you to go back to the Plea 25 Agreement and look at Paragraph 1C which begins on Page 3 and

1	goes all the way through Page 7. And it's titled, "Factual
2	Basis for the Guilty Plea." And was that one of the sections
3	that you reviewed when you indicated you've reviewed the Plea
4	Agreement?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
6	THE COURT: And are the facts that are set forth in
7	that paragraph, at Pages 3 to 7, are those facts truthful and
8	accurate?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
10	THE COURT: And do those facts truthfully and
11	accurately describe your involvement and conduct?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.
13	THE COURT: And do those facts truthfully and
14	accurately describe the conduct of the other people who were
15	referenced in Pages 3 to 7?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am, to the best of my
17	knowledge.
18	THE COURT: And did this conduct occur from April of
19	2011 through December of 2014?
20	THE DEFENDANT: To the best of my knowledge.
21	THE COURT: When were you involved?
22	THE DEFENDANT: October 31st, 2014.
23	THE COURT: October 31, 2014 through December of 2014
24	or just October?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Just October, Ma'am.

```
THE COURT: Well, I guess from October 31, 2014 'til
 1
 2
     when?
 3
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               To December, 2014.
               MR. GRAVELINE: Your Honor, if I may, just to be
 4
 5
             The factual basis of the Rule 11, and Mr. Johnson just
 6
     said he agreed with it, puts Mr. Johnson as involved from
     August of 2014 through December of 2014.
 7
 8
          I understand that Mr. Johnson is going to be admitting to
 9
     certain facts that occurred on October 31st, 2014. But I
10
    believe to be accurate, and I'd like to ask Mr. Johnson this,
11
     did this involvement extend from August of 2014 through
12
     December, 2014?
13
                               August to October, sir.
               THE DEFENDANT:
14
               MR. GRAVELINE: Okay. So, from August through
15
     October?
16
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes, sir.
17
               THE COURT: All right. August, 2014 through October,
18
     2014?
19
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               Yes, Ma'am.
               THE COURT: All right. And did some of this conduct
20
21
     take place in the Eastern District of Michigan as set forth in
22
     this factual basis for the quilty plea?
               MR. GRAVELINE: Maybe I could ask this question, Your
23
24
     Honor. Mr. Johnson, have you reviewed the discovery in this
25
    matter?
```

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	MR. GRAVELINE: All right. Did you ever personally
3	come to the Eastern District of Michigan?
4	THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.
5	MR. GRAVELINE: Did other people who were involved in
6	this Enterprise come to the Eastern District of Michigan, based
7	upon your review of the discovery?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Based upon my review, I guess they
9	did, sir.
10	MR. GRAVELINE: All right. But you did not
11	personally come?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Me, personally, I've never been here
13	to Michigan.
14	MR. GRAVELINE: Okay. Prior to your arrest. And
15	then you were brought here, correct?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Correct.
17	MR. GRAVELINE: I guess I would put this to
18	Mr. Kaplovitz. Would we stipulate that the Enterprise had
19	activities within the Eastern District of Michigan?
20	MR. KAPLOVITZ: Yes, Your Honor, I so stipulate.
21	THE COURT: And I understand, Mr. Johnson, the
22	factual basis for the guilty plea that's set forth in
23	Paragraphs 3 through 7 is describing conduct that may have
24	occurred during a time that you weren't personally involved,
25	but is describing conduct of the others that you were involved

with. And that's why you indicated, to the best of your 1 2 understanding, this information is truthful and accurate? THE DEFENDANT: To the best of my knowledge, Ma'am, 3 it's truthful and accurate. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. And I understand you've not 6 been in Michigan, so the conduct that you were involved in took 7 place in other states? 8 Yes, Ma'am. THE DEFENDANT: 9 THE COURT: And were you part of the Castro 10 Enterprise that is described in the factual basis for the 11 guilty plea? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 13 **THE COURT:** And were you associated with that group? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 15 THE COURT: And do you know or have you come to know 16 or did you learn that when you were involved that between the 17 April, 2011 through December, 2014 time frame, that two or more 18 persons reached an Agreement or came to an understanding to 19 conduct or participate in the affairs of the Castro Enterprise 20 through a pattern of racketeering activity that is set forth in 21 Pages 3 through 7 of the factual basis? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. THE COURT: And did you voluntarily and intentionally 23 join in the agreement or understanding, either at the time it 24

was first reached, or at some later time while it was still in

25

existence? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. THE COURT: And did you specifically intend to 3 otherwise participate in the affairs of the Castro Enterprise? 4 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. THE COURT: And then are these facts that are set 6 7 forth in Paragraph 1C at Pages 3 to 7 of the Rule 11 Plea 8 Agreement, are these the things that you did that make you believe you're guilty of RICO Conspiracy? 9 10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 11 THE COURT: And Mr. Graveline, anything further that you'd like to inquire? 12 13 MR. GRAVELINE: Not of Mr. Johnson, Your Honor. I do want to point out one thing, on Page 7 of the Rule 14 15 11, and normally a typo involving this would not raise to the level of note. But in the first full paragraph on Page 7, when 16 17 it talks about, "In a robbery on October 16, 2014", it's the 18 first full paragraph, second line, it says, "On October 16th, 2014, Olaya, defendant . . . ", then there's no comma, and then, 19 "Scott". That could be read, "Olaya, Defendant Scott and 20 21 another individual." 22 It's actually -- there should be a comma after 23 "Defendant". So, that should read, "Mr. Olaya, defendant, 24 i.e., Mr. Johnson, Scott, and another individual confronted

25

someone."

So, just to be clear, that Mr. Johnson is agreeing he was 1 2 present on October 16th during a robbery that occurred in West 3 Babylon, New York. 4 **THE COURT:** So, you just want to make that Defendant 5 Johnson? 6 MR. GRAVELINE: Yes, or you could just put a comma 7 right after, "Defendant". For example, that's how it reads in 8 the second line of that page when they're talking about a 9 different robbery that occurred in Fayetteville, Georgia. As 10 you can see up above, it says, "Later, Olaya, Defendant, and Scott . . ." 11 **THE COURT:** You see that, Mr. Johnson, on Page 7? 12 13 Mr. Olaya is a defendant, Mr. Scott's a defendant, and you're a 14 defendant. So, to make clear that that reference to defendant 15 is a reference to you, we're going to add a comma after, 16 "Defendant". Do you see that portion that we're talking about? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 18 THE COURT: And Mr. Kaplovitz, do you agree with that edit? 19 20 MR. KAPLOVITZ: Yes, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: And Mr. Johnson, you agree to that? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am. 23 **THE COURT:** All right. I'm going to add that in. 24 I'll just have the parties initial that when we complete the 25

proceeding today.

Mr. Graveline, anything further then? 1 2 MR. GRAVELINE: The only other thing I would ask is 3 that Mr. Johnson, when you were associated with this group or Enterprise, the Castro Enterprise, did you agree that other 4 5 people would take steps in order to commit a pattern of 6 racketeering activity, in this case, robbery? Did you agree 7 that some people would commit robberies as part of your 8 association with this Enterprise? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: And did you take active steps either 11 before, during, or after those robberies to assist in those robberies? 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did. Thank you. No further questions. 14 MR. GRAVELINE: 15 THE COURT: All right. Counsel, do you believe a 16 factual basis for the plea has been adequately established. 17 Mr. Graveline? 18 MR. GRAVELINE: Yes, Your Honor. 19 **THE COURT:** Mr. Kaplovitz? Your Honor, I'm satisfied. 20 MR. KAPLOVITZ: 21 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Johnson, now after having 22 heard a recitation of your rights, the consequences of pleading guilty, and having been advised about the penalties that could 23 24 be imposed, including prison time, is it still your intention 25 to plead guilty?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: And do you still want me to accept your plea of guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Ma'am.

THE COURT: Counsel, are you satisfied the Court have complied with all provisions of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. Mr. Graveline?

MR. GRAVELINE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kaplovitz?

MR. KAPLOVITZ: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I do believe that Mr. Johnson understands what is at stake, both in terms of the benefits and risks of proceeding to trial, and proceeding under the Rule 11 Plea Agreement. I do not see any indication of undisclosed promises that are motivating his decision. And I do not see any indication of threats or coercion.

So, having had the opportunity to address these issues with Mr. Johnson, it is the finding of The Court in the case of <u>United States versus Justin Johnson</u>, that Mr. Johnson is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea. That he is aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the plea. And that his plea of guilty is a knowing and voluntary plea, supported by his own statement of facts and those adopted by him that are set forth in Pages 3 to 7 under Paragraph 1C of the Rule 11 Plea Agreement, which contained all of the

essential elements of the offense.

So, Mr. Johnson, I am going to accept your guilty plea. You are now adjudged guilty of the RICO Conspiracy offense charged in the First Superseding Indictment -- I'm sorry, the Second Superseding Indictment.

I'm going to take the Rule 11 Plea Agreement under advisement. I'm going to refer this matter to the Probation Department. They're going to prepare for me a presentence report and that's the report that I will use to evaluate the factors that I mentioned to you earlier.

You'll be interviewed for that report. Mr. Kaplovitz could be with you, during that interview, if you wish. You will receive a copy of the report. You'll have an opportunity to make any objections that you have to The Court. And you'll also have the opportunity, if you wish, to speak at the sentencing. And I'm going to schedule this matter for sentencing for July 19th at 11:00 a.m.

And I'll just remind counsel that if you wish to have The Court consider a sentencing memo, I do require those to be filed no later than one week prior to the sentencing. So, no later than July 12th. I will continue the order of detention.

And with that, is there anything further then that we need to do on this matter today. From the Government?

MR. GRAVELINE: No, Your Honor.

MR. KAPLOVITZ: Nothing further. Just what time is

Case 2:15-cr-20200-LJM-DRG ECF No. 209 filed 04/17/17 PageID.1396 Page 38 of 39

Plea Hearing Thursday/March 9, 2017

that sentencing, Your Honor. THE COURT: It will be at 11:00 a.m. MR. KAPLOVITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. We'll see you in July. (Whereupon proceedings concluded at 1:55 p.m.) 15-20200; United States of America v. D7: Justin Johnson - - -

CERTIFICATION

I, Nefertiti A. Matthews, official court reporter for the United States District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division, appointed pursuant to the provisions of Title 28, United States Code, Section 753, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the proceedings in the above-entitled cause on the date hereinbefore set forth.

I do further certify that the foregoing transcript has been prepared by me or under my direction.

Date: April 17, 2017

s:/Nefertiti A. Matthews
Nefertiti A. Matthews,
Official Court Reporter

- - -